Correlation and path analysis of yield and yield components in transplanted rice

L. L. Panwar* and Mashiat Ali

Agricultural Research Station, Ummedganj, P. O. Box - 7, GPO - Nayapura, Kota - 324 001, Rajasthan, India

ABSTRACT

The association among yield components, their direct and indirect influence on grain yield was investigated in 47 genotypes of rice including 16 local basmati varieties and 31 high yielding varieties / advanced lines under two sowing dates. Grain yield plant¹ had significant positive association with grain yield panicle¹, harvest index, filled grains panicle¹, biological yield plant¹, primary branch number panicle¹, productive tillers plant¹ and secondary branch number panicle¹ in both the environments. Path coefficient analysis revealed that grain yield panicle¹ had the highest direct effect on grain yield plant¹ in both the environments, followed by harvest index, biological yield plant¹ and productive tillers plant¹, suggesting that the improvement in grain yield could be efficient, if the selection is based on these component characters.

Key words: Transplanted rice, yield components, correlation, path analysis

The expression of grain yield depends upon the interplay of a number of complex component attributes. Knowledge of correlation between grain yield and other characters is helpful in selection of suitable plant type. When more characters are included in correlation study, the association become complex. In such situations, selection on the basis of direct and indirect effects is much more useful than selection for yield *per se*. Hence, the present investigation was undertaken to study the association among grain yield and its component characters along with the nature and extent of direct and indirect effects of yield components on the grain yield in transplanted rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experimental material comprised of 47 genotypes of rice including 16 local basmati varieties and 31 high yielding varieties / advanced lines. The test materials were sown in two sowing dates (20th June and 5th July, 2001) during wet season. 25 days old seedlings were transplanted (15th July and 1st August, 2001) in a randomized block design with three replications at Agricultural Research Station, Ummedganj, Kota, Rajasthan. Each plot consisted of three rows of 5.0 m length with row to row and plant to plant spacing of 20 x 15 cms. The standard package of practices were

followed in each experiment. The observations were recorded on ten randomly selected plants from central row of each variety replication-1 for 14 characters viz., days to 50% flowering, plant height, panicle number plant-1, panicle length, primary branch number panicle-1, secondary branch number panicle-1, filled grains panicle-1, chaffy grains panicle-1, spikelet fertility (%), biological yield plant-1, harvest index, 1000–grain weight, grain yield panicle-1 and grain yield plant-1. The genotypic and phenotypic correlation coefficients and path analysis were computed following the standard statistical procedures of Singh and Chaudhary (1979) and Dewey and Lu (1959), respectively. The data of environment-1 (E₁) and environment-2 (E₂) were separately analysed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of variance (Table 1) revealed highly significant differences among the genotypes for all the characters studied, indicating the existence of considerable genetic variation in the experimental material. The genotypic correlation coefficients were of higher magnitude than the corresponding phenotypic correlation coefficients (Table 2) for most of the character pairs, indicating a strong inherent association between these characters. Grain yield plant⁻¹ had

115 **1**

Table 1. Mean and the analysis of variance under E, and E, conditions

Characters		Environment	$t-1$ (E_1)			Environmer	$i-2$ (E_2)	
	Mean ± SEm		M S S		Mean ± SEm		M S S	
		Replication	Genotypes	Error		Replication	Genotypes	Error
		(2)	(46)	(92)		(2)	(46)	(92)
Days to 50 % flowering	102.36 ± 0.33	0.66	86.16**	0.32624	102.48 ± 0.33	0.20	85.72**	0.32177
Plant height (cm)	106.76 ± 0.18	0.10	1359.95**	0.09306	106.79 ± 0.17	0.31	1360.08**	0.08509
Productive tillers plant ⁻¹	15.36 ± 0.13	0.04	31.78**	0.05364	14.86 ± 0.15	0.19	29.14**	0.06613
Panicle length (cm)	27.98 ± 0.16	0.09	10.84**	0.07681	27.64 ± 0.16	0.15	9.82**	0.07501
Primary branch number panicle ⁻¹	12.13 ± 0.17	0.30	13.21**	0.08803	11.80 ± 0.12	0.06	12.30**	0.04561
Secondary branch number panicle ⁻¹	26.80 ± 0.53	1.67	203.64**	0.84345	25.79 ± 0.15	0.06	182.08**	0.06426
Filled grains panicle ⁻¹	137.29 ± 0.17	0.55	5861.44**	0.08625	134.86 ± 0.17	0.36	5563.46**	0.08966
Chaffy grains panicle ⁻¹	22.88 ± 0.16	0.02	239.26**	0.07634	24.54 ± 0.17	0.08	229.50**	0.08189
Spikelet fertility (%)	85.47 ± 0.12	0.01	58.98**	0.04181	85.15 ± 0.11	0.02	59.64**	0.03676
Biological yield plant ⁻¹	87.22 ± 0.13	0.33	1904.54**	0.04822	87.00 ± 0.32	0.71	1896.91**	0.29871
Harvest index (%)	27.18 ± 0.13	0.04	203.60**	0.05182	26.65 ± 0.11	0.07	187.36**	0.03605
1000 - grain weight (g)	22.39 ± 0.10	0.01	10.44**	0.03105	22.13 ± 0.12	0.08	8.47**	0.04259
Grain yield panicle ⁻¹ (g)	1.55 ± 0.03	0.01	1.09**	0.00339	1.49 ± 0.04	0.01	1.03**	0.00498
Grain yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	23.11 ± 0.11	0.04	171.54**	0.03818	22.75 ± 0.11	0.09	162.89**	0.03525

^{*} and ** significant at P = 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively.

significant positive correlation with grain yield panicle⁻¹, harvest index, filled grains panicle⁻¹, biological yield plant⁻¹, primary branch number panicle⁻¹, productive tillers plant⁻¹ and secondary branch number panicle⁻¹ in both the environments. Similar findings were earlier reported by Murthy *et al.* (1991) and Sundaram and Palanisamy (1994) for biological yield plant⁻¹, Padhi and Singh (1991) and Meenakshi *et al.* (1999) for grains panicle⁻¹ and Surek *et al.* (1998) and Khedikar *et al.* (2004) for number of panicles plant⁻¹, biological yield plant⁻¹ and harvest index. Plant height exhibited negative and significant correlation while all other characters expressed non-significant association with grain yield plant⁻¹ in both the environments.

As regards inter-relationship between yield

characters, it was quite interesting to observe that grain yield panicle⁻¹, harvest index, biological yield plant⁻¹ and productive tillers plant⁻¹ were mutually correlated with each other. Productive tillers plant⁻¹ was positively and significantly correlated with biological yield plant⁻¹, harvest index and grain yield panicle⁻¹. Biological yield plant⁻¹ showed a positive and significant association with harvest index and grain yield panicle⁻¹ in both the environments. Murthy *et al.* (1991) also obtained similar results. Harvest index showed positive and significant association with grain yield panicle⁻¹. Therefore, selection for any one of the characters would offer the scope for simultaneous improvement in all these four characters in addition to improving the yield.

Path coefficient analysis (Table 3) showed that

	- (~) a.d.			2 1 2 2 (-)				9						(-2)	
Characters	Environments		Plant	Productive Panicle	e Panicle	Primary	Secondary	Filled	Chaffy	Spikelet	Biological	Harvest	1000 -	Grain	Grain
			height (cm)	tillers plant¹	length (cm)	branch number panicle- ¹	branch number panicle ⁻¹	grains panicle-1	grains panicle-1	fertility (%)	yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	index (%)	grain weight (g)	yield panicle ⁻¹ (g)	yield plant ⁻¹ (g)
Days to	E_1 G		0.41**	0.28	0.01	-0.15	-0.18	-0.23	-0.29*	60.0	0.46**	-0.46**	0.28	-0.18	-0.02
% 05			0.38**	0.25	0.01	-0.12	-0.17	-0.20	-0.25	0.07	0.38**	-0.44**	0.25	-0.15	-0.01
flowering	$E_{_{\! 2}}$		0.41**	0.29* 0.25	0.02	-0.19 -0.15	-0.20 -0.18	-0.25	-0.28 -0.20	0.07	0.45**	-0.46**	0.25	-0.18 -0.15	-0.01
Plant	щ)	0.20	0.15	-0.42**	-0.65**	**65.0-	-0.49**	0.03	0.12	**29.0-	0.32*	-0.45**	-0.47**
height (cm)				0.18	0.13	-0.37**	-0.64**	-0.55**	-0.40**	0.01	0.09	-0.59**	0.29*	-0.43**	-0.45**
	E_2 G		1	0.19	0.21	-0.32*	-0.65**	-0.58**	-0.50**	0.03	0.12	-0.66**	0.29*	-0.43**	-0.45**
	1	Д	1	0.17	0.18	-0.29*	-0.64**	-0.52**	-0.42**	0.01	60.0	-0.56**	0.25	-0.39**	-0.41**
Productive	E, C	Ü		1	0.25	-0.17	-0.22	-0.17	0.21	0.02	0.45**	0.30*	0.19	0.41**	0.38**
$tillersplant^{-1}$		Ь			0.22	-0.12	-0.18	-0.13	0.16	0.01	0.40**	0.29*	0.16	0.38**	0.36**
	$\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{_{\! 2}}$	Ŋ			0.24	-0.06	-0.23	-0.18	0.20	0.00	0.48**	0.29*	0.16	0.38**	0.39**
	Ь	^		1	0.19	-0.03	-0.20	-0.15	0.18	0.00	0.42**	0.29*	0.09	0.36**	0.37**
Panicle	E,	Ü			1	0.24	0.19	0.11	0.11	0.01	-0.22	-0.07	-0.21	-0.05	-0.21
length (cm)	·	•				0.22	0.16	0.09	60.0	0.01	-0.18	-0.03	-0.17	-0.01	-0.19
	E_2	G				0.23	0.15	0.09	80.0	90.0	-0.19	-0.12	-0.15	-0.09	-0.22
		Ь			ı	0.20	60.0	0.03	0.05	0.03	-0.13	-0.10	-0.08	-0.05	-0.20
Primary	E ₁ C	Ü					0.64**	0.73**	0.45**	0.13	0.05	0.42**	-0.44**	0.46**	0.41**
branch		0					0.58**	0.62**	0.40**	60.0	0.05	0.38**	-0.41**	0.41**	0.39**
number	$\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle 2}$	Ü					0.49**	0.65**	0.34*	0.22	0.10	0.39**	-0.27	0.39**	0.42**
panicle-1	Ь	0					0.39**	0.56**	0.32*	0.18	80.0	0.36**	-0.22	0.36**	0.40**
Secondary	E C	G						0.69**	0.51**	0.04	80.0	0.36**	-0.46**	0.40**	0.36**
branch		0						0.65**	0.40**	0.01	0.05	0.34*	-0.39**		0.35*
number	$\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle 2}$	Ü					ı	0.70**	0.53**	0.04	80.0	0.36**	-0.42**		0.36**
panicle-1	Ь	0					ı	0.68**	0.43**	0.03	90.0	0.32*	-0.36**	0.38**	0.34*
Filled	E, C	G							0.48**	0.32*	0.20	0.51**	-0.50**	0.59**	0.59**
grains	P	0							0.45**	0.30*	0.15	0.48**	-0.42**		0.55**
panicle-1	$\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{_{\! 2}}$	Ü						1	0.49**	0.31*	0.20	0.51**	-0.47**		0.59**
	Ь	^						1	0.46**	0.29*	0.13	0.49**	-0.38**	0.52**	0.53**
Chaffy	E, C	Ŋ							ı	-0.65**	-0.01	-0.43**	-0.35*	0.44**	0.27
grains		0								-0.60**	-0.01	-0.39**	-0.32*	0.41**	0.25
panicle-1	$\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{\!\scriptscriptstyle 2}$	Ü							1	-0.64**	0.00	-0.43**	-0.31*	0.41**	0.28
	4	Ь								-0.58**	0.00	-0.38**	-0.29*	0.38**	0.27
Spikelet	E C	Ü								1	0.13	0.02	-0.06	90.0	0.12
fertility (%)		0 1								1	0.12	0.02	-0.04	0.01	0.10
	E_2	י ט								1	0.13	0.02	60.0-	0.08	0.12
	Ч	٦.									60.0	0.01	-0.03	0.05	0.09

Table 2 contd.../-

Biological	щ	C	- 0.4	•		.36**	0.52**
yield	-	ď	- 0.3	0.38** -0.2		.36**	0.48**
plant-1(g)	щ	D	- 0.3			.37**	0.53**
	1	P	- 0.3		-0.25 0	.36**	0.50**
Harvest	Щ	D	1	9		.73**	0.64**
index (%)	-	Ь	•	-0-		.64**	0.59**
	щ	D	•	-0-		.72**	0.65**
	1	Ъ	1	-0		0.62**	0.63**
1000-grain	П	D		1	Y	0.10	-0.02
weight (g)		Ь		ı	Y	70.0	-0.01
	щ	D		ı	Y	70.0	0.01
	1	Ъ		1	Y	5.03	0.01
Grain yield	Щ	D			1		0.84**
panicle-1(g)		Ь			1		0.76**
	щ	D			1		0.83**
	1	Ъ			1		0.72**
* and ** sig	ınificant	* and ** significant at $P = 0.05$ and 0.01 level, respectively.					

Table 3. Path analysis showing direct and indirect effects of yield attributing characters on grain yield plant 1 under (E_1) and environment (E_2) conditions

14 DIC 3. 1 4	tii aiiaiysi	n Sillowills c	חוו בכן שוונ	Table 5. Fath analysis showing unect and inductively by Jielu attributing characters on grain field plant—under (E_1) and environment (E_2) conditions	icers or y	iciu atti it	Juling Cilai	acters on	gi ann yic	iu piaiit	(\mathbf{r}_1)	allu ciivii	OIIIICIII	(z_2) com	altions
Characters	Environ-		Plant	Productive	Panicle	Primary	Secondary	Filled	Chaffy	Spikelet	Biological	Harvest	1000-	Grain	Grain
	ments	% 05	height	tillers	length	branch	branch	grains	grains	fertility	yield	index	grain	yield	yield
		flowering	(cm)	plant¹	(cm)	number panicle ⁻¹	number panicle ⁻¹	panicle-1	panicle ⁻¹	(%)	plant ¹ (g)	(%)	weight (g)	panicle ⁻¹ (g)	plant ⁻¹ (g)
Days to 50 % flowering	п _г п _с	0.0312 0.0657	-0.0313	0.0827 0.0903	0.0003	0.0026	0.0007	0.0092	-0.0152	0.0067	0.1799	-0.1979	0.0044	-0.0967	-0.0235 -0.0083
Plant	я	0.0127	-0.0766	0.0599	0.0040	0.0073	0.0024	0.0238	-0.0255	0.0023	0.0489	-0.2871	0.0050	-0.2422	-0.4651**
height (cm)	E.	0.0270	-0.0852	0.0600	0.0053	-0.0035	0.0093	0.0047	-0.0271	0.0020	0.0400	-0.2659	0.0107	-0.2323	-0.4549**
Productive tillers plant ¹	п_п	0.0088	-0.0157	0.2931	-0.0066	0.0029	0.0008	0.0070	-0.0110	0.0016	0.1778	0.1271	0.0030	0.2214	0.3763**
Panicle	ц	0.0003	-0.0117	-0.0735	0.0264	0.0042	-0.0007	-0.0043	0.0057	0.0007	0.0858	-0.0317	-0.0033	0.0749	0.2070
length (cm)	л __ п	0.0015	-0.0180	-0.0751	0.0251	0.0025	-0.0021	-0.0007	0.0046	0.0037	0.0618	-0.0464	-0.0056	-0.0504	-0.2229
Primary	' Б	-0.0046	0.0320	-0.0493	0.0063	0.0175	-0.0024	-0.0296	0.0237	0.0096	0.0190	0.1817	-0.0069	0.2473	0.4093**
branch	$\overset{\cdot}{\mathrm{E}}_{\scriptscriptstyle{2}}$	-0.0122	0.0276	-0.0184	0.0058	0.0108	-0.0070	-0.0052	0.0186	0.0132	0.0335	0.1561	-0.0098	0.2116	0.4244**
panicle-1															
Secondary	ъ	-0.0055	0.0497	-0.0657	0.0051	-0.0112	-0.0037	-0.0280	0.0267	0.0030	0.0313	0.1521	-0.0072	0.2138	0.3605**
branch	$\stackrel{\cdot}{\mathrm{E}}_{_{2}}$	-0.0134	0.0557	-0.0730	0.0038	0.0053	-0.0143	-0.0057	0.0291	0.0024	0.0252	0.1458	-0.0152	0.2168	0.3625**
number panicle ⁻¹															
Filled	Ē	-0.0071	0.0452	-0.0506	0.0028	-0.0128	0.0026	0.0404	0.0248	0.0242	0.0778	0.2193	-0.0080	0.3167	0.5894**
grains panicle ⁻¹	E,	-0.0163	0.0498	-0.0552	0.0023	0.0070	0.0100	0.0081	0.0269	0.0182	0.0644	0.2052	-0.0173	0.3182	0.5852**
Chaffy	ய	-0.0091	0.0375	-0.0617	0.0029	-0.0079	-0.0019	-0.0192	0.0521	-0.0499	0.0039	0.0842	-0.0055	0.0336	0.2711
grains panicle-1	Ë,	-0.0183	0.0422	-0.0618	0.0021	0.0037	-0.0076	-0.0040	0.0547	-0.0381	0.0000	0.0726	-0.0113	0.0244	0.2795
Spikelet	п <u>,</u> п	0.0027	-0.0023	0.0062	0.0003	-0.0022	-0.0001	-0.0128	-0.0340	0.0765	0.0505	0.0065	-0.0009	0.0297	0.1200
Riological	<u>1</u> п	0.0143	9600 0-	0.1333	-0.0058	60000-	-0.0003	08000	-0.0005	00000	0.3910	0.1276	0.0041	0.1214	0.5214**
yield $plant^{-1}(g)$	_п_	0.0297	-0.0104	0.1504	-0.0047	0.0011	-0.0011	-0.0016	0.0002	0.0076	0.3294	0.1134	0.0101	0.1338	0.5310**
Harvest	щ	-0.0144	0.0514	0.0870	-0.0020	-0.0074	-0.0013	-0.0207	0.0224	0.0012	0.1165	0.4284	-0.0047	0.3930	0.6425**
index (%)	$\dot{\mathbb{E}}_{2}^{\cdot}$	-0.0301	0.0566	0.0876	-0.0029	0.0042	-0.0052	-0.0041	0.0236	0.0012	0.0932	0.4006	-0.0092	0.3916	0.6455**
1000 -	E,	0.0087	-0.0244	0.0560	-0.0055	0.0077	0.0017	0.0203	-0.0182	-0.0046	0.1015	-0.1263	0.0158	-0.0543	-0.0217
grain weight (g)	E E	0.0166	-0.0249	0.0489	-0.0039	-0.0029	0.0059	0.0038	-0.0169	-0.0051	0.0903	-0.1000	0.0367	-0.0378	0.0108
Grain	ы	-0.0056	0.0346	0.1209	-0.0012	-0.0081	-0.0015	-0.0238	0.0227	0.0042	0.0885	0.3137	-0.0016	0.5367	0.8376**
	\mathbf{E}_{2}^{-}	-0.0116	0.0366	0.1196	-0.0023	0.0042	-0.0057	-0.0047	0.0227	0.0050	0.0814	0.2897	-0.0026	0.5415	0.8344**
	Е	0.0063													
6 Effects	\mathbf{E}_2^{-1}	0.0058													

* and ** significant at $P=0.05\,$ and 0.01 level, respectively.

grain yield panicle-1 had the highest direct effect on grain yield plant-1 in both the environments, followed by harvest index, biological yield plant¹ and productive tillers plant⁻¹. Similar results were also reported by Ibrahim et al. (1990) for effective tillers plant⁻¹, Niranjana Murthy et al. (1991) for dry matter production, Murty and Babu (1992) and Chakraborty et al. (2001) for harvest index and Surek et al. (1998) and Meenakshi et al. (1999) for biological yield plant⁻¹ and harvest index. Plant height and secondary branch number panicle-1 had negative yet direct effect on grain yield plant-1 in both the environments. Chakraborty et al. (2001) and Khedikar et al. (2004) also reported negative direct effect of plant height on the grain yield plant¹. The indirect effects of plant height via productive tillers plant and biological yield plant ¹ was positive and *via* harvest index and grain yield panicle-1 was negative; whereas indirect effects of secondary branch number panicle-1 via productive tillers plant⁻¹ was negative and via biological yield plant⁻¹, harvest index and grain yield panicle-1 was positive in both the environments. In the present study, all the characters showed positive indirect effect through biological yield plant⁻¹ in both the environments. Surek et al. (1998) also reported positive indirect effect of all the characters through biological yield plant⁻¹.

The results from correlation and path coefficient analysis indicated that grain yield panicle⁻¹, harvest index, biological yield plant⁻¹ and productive tillers plant⁻¹ were the major yield contributing characters, as these characters not only showed positive and significant association with grain yield plant⁻¹, but also had high positive direct effect in both the environments. They also had positive indirect effects *via* each other. Thus, grain yield panicle⁻¹, harvest index, biological yield plant⁻¹ and productive tillers plant⁻¹ could be considered as the most important characters for selection in order to improve the grain yield.

REFERENCES

- Chakraborty S, Das PK, Guha B, Barman B and Sarmah KK 2001. Coheritability, correlation and path analysis of yield components in boro rice. Oryza 38 (3&4): 99-101
- Dewey DR and Lu KH 1959. A correlation and path coefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass and seed production. Agron J 51:515-518
- Ibrahim SM, Ramalingam A and Subramanian M 1990. Path analysis of rice grain yield under rainfed lowland conditions. Int Rice Res Newsl 15 (1): 11
- Khedikar VP, Bharose AA, Sharma D, Khedikar YP and Khillare AS 2004. Path coefficient analysis of yield components of scented rice. J Soils and Crops 14: 198-201
- Meenakshi T, Amirthadevarathinam A and Backiyarani S 1999. Correlation and path analysis of yield and some physiological characters in rainfed rice. Oryza 36 (2) :154-156
- Murty PSS and Babu V 1992. Path coefficient analysis of physiological parameters in hybrid rices. Oryza 29: 379-380
- Niranjana Murthy G, Shankar S, Hittalamani S and Udayakumar M 1991. Association analysis among yield and some physiological traits in rice. Oryza 28:257-259
- Padhi AK and Singh M 1991. Inter-relationships of major quantitative traits in some tall and dwarf varieties of rice. Environment and Ecology 9:96-99
- Singh RK and Chaudhary BD 1979. Biometrical Methods in Quantitative Genetic Analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, India
- Sundaram T and Palanisamy S 1994. Path analysis in early rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Madras Agric J 81 (1): 28-29
- Surek H, Korkut ZK and Bilgin O 1998. Correlation and path analysis for yield and yield components in rice in a 8parent half diallel set of crosses. Oryza 35 (1): 15-18